# **SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL**

# Franchise Tax Board

Author: Alarcon	Analyst: <u>Jeff Garnier</u>	Bill Number: SB 2037		
Related Bills: See Prior Analysis	Telephone: <u>845-5322</u>	Amended Date: April 4, 2000		
	Attorney: Patrick Kusiak	Sponsor:		
SUBJECT: Environmental Buildin	g Costs Credit			
introduced/amended	CCEPTED. Amendments reflect sugg			
AMENDMENTS IMPACT REVENUE. A new revenue estimate is provided.  AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENT'S CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended				
FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY.				
DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO				
X REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS INTRODUCED February 25, 2000 STILL APPLIES.				
OTHER - See comments below.				
SUMMARY OF BILL	Iaw (DITI) and the Bank	and Corporation Tax Law		
Under the Personal Income Tax Law (PITL) and the Bank and Corporation Tax Law (B&CTL), this bill would allow a credit equal to 5% of environmental building costs paid or incurred to construct, repair, maintain, rehabilitate or improve a commercial or multifamily residential structure. The aggregate amount of credits allowed could not exceed \$15 million in any one calendar year. If credit claims exceed \$15 million in a year, the credit would be allocated by the Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC).				
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS				
The April 4, 2000, amendments added the \$15 million limit and the TCAC allocation requirement. The amendments also added a sunset date for the credit of December 1, 2006. Additionally, the amendments substituted the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for the Green Building Task Force and would no longer require environmentally sound fixtures to be scientifically demonstrable to be less harmful to the environment; instead, environmentally sound fixtures would be required to be certified by the EPA.				
EFFECTIVE DATE				
As a tax levy, this bill would become effective immediately upon enactment and would apply to taxable and income years beginning on or after January 1, 2001, and before January 1, 2006.				
Board Position:	NP	Legislative Director Date		
S NA SA O N OUA	NAR NAR PENDING	Johnnie Lou Rosas 4/13/00		

C:\WINDOWS\TEMP\SB 2037 4-4 SA0F.DOC 04/18/00 3:58 PM

Senate Bill 2037 (Alacon) Amended April 4, 2000 Page 2

#### SPECIFIC FINDINGS

Except for the items discussed in the Summary of Amendments, the remainder of the department's February 25, 2000, analysis still applies. Policy concerns that were not resolved by the April 4, 2000, amendments are restated below.

This bill would no longer require an environmentally sound fixture to be scientifically demonstrable to be less harmful to the environment than other fixtures commonly used for the same purpose. The environmentally sound fixture would have to be certified by the EPA to be less harmful to the environment.

This bill would require the EPA to complete the certification of fixtures as being environmentally sound or energy efficient and the determinations related to refrigerants by July 1, 2001, and annually update the list of certifications by each July 1 thereafter. The EPA may delegate the certification process to any of its member boards or departments, the California Energy Commission, the Department of Water Resources, or the State Department of Health Services.

This bill would allow up to \$15 million in credits for environmental building costs in any one calendar year. In the event that more than \$15 million in valid credits are claimed in any one year, the TCAC would allocate up to \$15 million in credits based on the TCAC's determination of which claimant's environmental building costs incurred would result in the greatest proportionate increases in environmental soundness and energy efficiency. The TCAC would be required to promulgate regulations governing the implementation of the TCAC's duties regarding the allocation of this credit.

#### Policy Considerations \*

This bill potentially would allow the credit for environmental building costs for buildings located outside of California.

This bill would allow taxpayers in certain circumstances to claim multiple special tax credits for the same item of expense (e.g., Manufacturers' Investment, Enterprise Zone and Targeted Tax Area Credits).

In the case of buildings being used in a trade or business, this bill would not require the basis of the building or the amount of the current expense to be reduced by the amount of the credit.

Conflicting tax policies come into play whenever a credit is provided for an expense item for which preferential treatment is already allowed in the form of an expense deduction or depreciation deduction. This new credit would provide a double benefit for these expense items. On the other hand, making an adjustment to reduce the basis or expense in order to eliminate the double benefit creates a state and federal difference, which is contrary to the state's general conformity policy. In the case of a one-time expense deduction, the reduction of that expense would not create an ongoing difference. However, if the expenditure must be capitalized, then an ongoing difference would be created.

While the credit would be capped at an aggregate of \$15 million per year, this bill does not limit the annual credit amount that would be allowed to any one taxpayer.

Senate Bill 2037 (Alacon) Amended April 4, 2000 Page 3

This bill would allow an unlimited carryover for the environmental building cost credit. Recently enacted credits have contained a limited carryover since credits typically are exhausted within eight years.

This bill does not require the environmental building costs incurred by the taxpayer to be for new equipment and does not state a minimum amount of time the taxpayer must have the equipment placed in service to avoid recapture of the allowed credit. Without one of these requirements, more than one taxpayer potentially could claim the credit on the same piece of equipment.

#### Implementation Considerations

This bill would only require the TCAC to allocate the credit after taxpayers file returns claiming the credit and only if the aggregate amount of credits claimed exceed \$15 million. To determine whether TCAC allocation would be required, the department would have to hold all returns claiming the environmental building costs credit. The department would be required to pay interest on any refund not mailed to a taxpayer within 45 days of the return's filing date. Additionally, under present law, a taxpayer could file an amended return, up to four years after the original due date of the return, claiming the credit.

In addition, the \$15 million annual limitation is expressed in terms of a calendar year, which thus makes it unclear how it would be applied in the context of fiscal year filers.

Generally, allocation of credits is done prior to filing of tax returns claiming that credit.

Department staff is available to assist in resolving the above or any other concern.

#### Technical Consideration

Amendment 1 is provided to correct a typographical error.

#### FISCAL IMPACT

#### Departmental Costs

Once the Implementation Consideration is resolved, this bill would not significantly increase the department's costs.

#### Tax Revenue Estimate

The revenue losses under the B&CT and PIT laws are estimated to be as follows:

Revenue Impact of SB 2037				
(Amended April 4, 2000)				
For	Taxable T	Year Beginning A	After 1/1/2001	
	Assumed 1	Enactment After	6/30/2000	
Fiscal Years				
(In Millions)				
	2000-1	2001-2	2002-3	
	-\$1	-\$15	-\$15	

This analysis does not consider the possible changes in employment, personal income, or gross state product that could result from this proposal.

## Tax Revenue Discussion

Revised revenue losses above reflect a reduction of \$2 million for fiscal year 2000-1 and \$10 million for 2001-2 and 2002-3 from the previous version of this bill as introduced February 25, 2000. The revised revenue losses are attributable to limiting the aggregate credit amount that could be allocated to \$15 million for any calendar year.

The previous analysis and assumptions still apply.

#### BOARD POSITION

Pending.

Analyst Jeff Garnier
Telephone # 845-5322
Attorney Patrick Kusiak

# FRANCHISE TAX BOARD'S PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO SB 2037 As Amended April 4, 2000

## AMENDMENT 1

On page 6, line 40, strikeout "2000" and insert:

2006